

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



British States Patent and Tradespark, Office Admia COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS 50 Bes 1450 Recently, Vigino 2210-1455 www.upringes

APPLICATION NO.	PILING DATE	FORST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,330	12/04/2001	Heinz Berbner	48606-DIV	1457
26474	7990 01/22/2004		EXAMPLE	
KEIL & WEINKAUF 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W.			CHIN, PETER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		set man are correspondence address m
THE REPLY FILED 1/9/2004 FAILS TO Therefore, further action by the applicant final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may o condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed I Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	is required to avoid abandonment on the second of the seco	
<u>P</u>	ERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a	a) or b)]
a) The period for reply expiresmor	this from the mailing date of the final rejection	on.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE F 706.07(f).	od for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS I IRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO M	IONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP
ee nave been nied is the date for purposes of deter	mining the period of extension and the corre expiration date of the shortened statutory p regions by the Office later than three growth	in under 37 CFR 1,138(a) and the appropriate extension appointing amount of the fee. The appropriate extension eriod for reply originally set in the final Office action; or as after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if
<ol> <li>A Notice of Appeal was filed on</li></ol>	Appellant's Brief must be filed thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid	within the period set forth in dismissal of the appeal.
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will no</li></ol>		
(a) they raise new issues that wou	d require further consideration and	for search (see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new ma	ter (see Note below);	
issues for appeal, and/or		eal by materially reducing or simplifying the
<ul><li>(d) they present additional claims</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	without canceling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the	following rejection(s):	
	s) would be allowable if subm	nitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
<ol> <li>The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c application in condition for allowance</li> </ol>	request for reconsideration has because: See Continuation Sheet.	been considered but does NOT place the
3. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be or raised by the Examiner in the final in	onsidered because it is not directed ejection.	d SOLELY to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the propose explanation of how the new or ame	d amendment(s) a) will not be ended claims would be rejected is pro-	ntered or b) will be entered and an ovided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will I	oe) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 22,23 and 27.		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 12-17,19-21,24-26	28,29	
Claim(s) withdrawn from considerat	ion:	
. The drawing correction filed on	_is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapp	proved by the Examiner.
. Note the attached Information Disclo		
D. Other:		
		20 _//~
		un c
		Peter Chin Primary Examiner
Palent and Trademak Office		Art Unit: 1731
0L-303 (Rev. 11-03)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 20040101

Application No.

10/000,330

Examiner

Peter Chin

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the

Advisory Action

Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1731

BERBNER ET AL.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claim 28: recitation of "up to 30% polyacrylonitrile filters and optional up to 20% by weight of additive is inclusive of zero percent of these two components and hence properly rejected as set forth in the second rejection of the previous Office Action, Filial Rejection.